### Quantifying Fluid Flow in Sedimentary Basins: A Petroleum Perspective

Andrew Aplin School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences Newcastle University

Andy Chadwick (BGS) Christian Hermanrud (StatoilHydro) Stephan Duppenbecker (BP) Peter Butterworth (BP) Joe Cartwright (Cardiff University) Mads Huuse (Aberdeen University) Walid Mohammed (BP/Newcastle University)





The Petroleum Industry's Interest in Fluid Flow Includes

- Production from oilfields
- Predicting pore pressure
- Seal capacity and petroleum leakage
- CO<sub>2</sub> storage





### Outline

- Looking into sedimentary basins
- Modelling fluid flow
- Learning about leakage
- Some things we don't know





### Looking into Sedimentary Basins: Seismic Images and Downhole Logs







bp

#### Perspective view of West Nile Delta seabed

10km



AN WAR SELECT

Rosetta "Canyon"

MTC

K\_1X\_LIBRA

Remnant MTC

Rosetta "Leveed Valley" avulsion

Mud

volcano

MTC

#### **40ms RMS Amplitude Extraction**





### **Seismic Section**







### High Resolution Seismic with Interpretation



#### **True Structure of Alba Reservoir Revealed by Enhanced Seismic Data Quality**



1989 3D

1999 3D

Bain (1993), Newton & Flanagan (1993), Lonergan & Cartwright (1999), MacLeod et al. (1999)





www.3DLab.org.uk



Borehole evidence for remobilized/injected origin of massive sandbodies overlain by ratty sands









CARDIFF

UNIVERSITY

PRIFYSGOL





### Fluid Flow, Pore Pressure Prediction and Safe Drilling: A Caspian Example

Stephan J. Duppenbecker et al.

### The Challenge: Safe Drilling into High and Complex Pressure Regime





### Step 1: Basin Scale Seismic Gives the Basic Sequence of Rock Types





### Step 1=: Fieldwork to help calibrate seismic stratigraphy





•Interbedded fluvial and fluviodeltaic sands with shallow water lacustrine mudstones.

•Mudstones with exposure indicators.

#### **Step 2: Build Regional Geologic Cross - Section**





#### Step 3: Evaluate Geological History of Chosen Geological Structure

UPLIFT EVENT WITHIN LAST 1MY



hr



### Step 4: Build 3D Geological Model and Parameterize in Terms of Fluid Flow





Code	Name	Color	Pattern
1	Limestone		
2	Shale_45CF		
3	LW_N:G		
4	Shale_35CF		
6	Shale_45CF_DW		
7	Shale_45CF_carb		
8	Shale_45CF_MTC		
13	HG_N:G		
21	Tight_Shale_55CF		
22	Evaporite_Mudstones		
23	Evaporites		
5	LW_N:G_Sandy		
88	SR_Rich		
99	SR_Lean		

### Steps 5 and 6: Run Model, Compare Results to Existing Data, Recalibrate Model, Iterate









#### Model calibrated to well data

### 1D Result from Early Fluid Flow Simulation



Fluid Pressure



### Leakage: Geological to Human Timescales







### Gas Leakage on Geological Timescales











#### **Storage at Sleipner**



CO<sub>2</sub> separated from natural gas ~ 1 Mt per year since 1996 >10 Mt now in situ





### **Utsira formation well logs**



### StatoilHydro

#### Field analogue of the Utsira formation?



StatoilHydro



#### **Deep monitoring at Sleipner**



CO<sub>2</sub> injection commenced 1996

- ~ 1 Mt  $CO_2$  injected per annum
- > 10 Mt currently in situ



Surface seismic – 3D coverage





www.bgs.ac.uk



#### vertical sections



horizontal slices

### **Early CO<sub>2</sub> flow models**



Zweigel et al, 2002





#### **Detecting migration in 3D volume above reservoir**

Early warning of subsequent leakage

2D areal slice



2002 – 1994 difference

Sleipner 2002 – no detected migration of CO<sub>2</sub> from the reservoir

## Uncertainties 1: Sub-seismic and sub-log discontinuities





# Uncertainties 2: Sometimes large-scale geological discontinuities compromise the seal....but not where oil & gas is retained







### Uncertainties 3: Rapid Changes in Stress Regime may cause fracturing of the overburden





Probably not relevant to Rad Waste?





### Uncertainties 4: where there is leakage, what are the rates and flowpaths?







### Finally

- Our ability to image the subsurface and to model fluid flow on human and geological timescales is better than ever
- But: there is always uncertainty



